EXHIBIT DA [PUBLIC VERSION]

Case 1:22-cv-00125-SWS Document 300-10 Filed 02/21/24 Page 2 of 4 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

```
Page 1
1
           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
                        DISTRICT OF WYOMING
 2
 3
         CUSTODIA BANK, INC.,
                                     )
 4
                      Plaintiff,
 5
         v.
 6
                                     ) 1:22-cv-00125-SWS
         FEDERAL RESERVE BOARD
 7
         OF GOVERNORS AND
         FEDERAL RESERVE BANK OF
8
         KANSAS CITY,
                                     )
9
                      Defendants.
10
11
12
                    * DESIGNATED CONFIDENTIAL *
13
                 * SUBJECT TO A PROTECTIVE ORDER *
14
15
                  ZOOM/IN-PERSON DEPOSITION OF ESTHER
16
17
        GEORGE, a Witness, taken remotely on behalf of
18
        the Plaintiff before Peggy E. Corbett, CSR, CCR,
19
        RDR, pursuant to Notice on the 9th day of
20
        November, 2023, at the offices of the Federal
        Reserve Bank of Kansas City, 1 Memorial Drive,
21
22
        Kansas City, Missouri 64198.
2.3
24
25
```

Page 27 1 MR. MICHAELSON: Objection, form. 2. Α. I was aware of that. 3 (BY MR. ORTIZ) So as you get through Ο. this process a little bit, and I want to have you 4 5 walk through this, there came a point in time that the SPDI legislation passed; is that right? 6 7 Α. Yes. And then at least one or two 8 Ο. 9 organizations at some point in time may have applied for a master account? 10 11 That is correct. Α. 12 And I know we've somewhat talked about 0. 13 it. There may be some time that an institution 14 called Kraken applied for a master account. 15 that something you were aware of? 16 I was aware of that. Α. 17 But primarily Avanti that became Q. 18 Custodia, they were the ones that were really 19 pushing to get the master account, correct? 20 We were working directly with Custodia Α. 21 on their request. 2.2 Ο. How many times did you personally meet 23 with any representatives from the State of 24 Wyoming about the SPDI charter legislation and 25 these type of banks?

CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

	Page 28
1	MR. MICHAELSON: Objection, form.
2	A. I don't recall any meetings that were
3	specifically intended for this. Our interactions
4	with the State Banking Department covered a
5	broader set of responsibilities that we had.
6	So I would meet a couple of times a year
7	with the State Banking Department about
8	supervisory issues that we shared over
9	State-chartered banks.
10	Q. (BY MR. ORTIZ) Do you have a good
11	relationship with the Wyoming State Banking
12	Commission?
13	A. I believe we do.
14	Q. Do you have a good relationship with
15	Albert Forkner?
16	A. I believe we did.
17	Q. You did at that time, as well?
18	A. I did, yes.
19	Q. Did you have respect for him?
20	A. I did have respect for Albert Forkner.
21	Q. Respect for the way Wyoming Banking
22	Commission went about supervising their charter
23	banks?
24	A. Yes. We worked closely together in the
25	supervision of our State-chartered banks.